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Background on the University of Utah DCC

- Started in 2002 in support of a single, multi-institutional research network and two projects
- Currently provide support for 5 multi-institutional research networks and more than 30 active projects
- Over 30 staff including data center PI, 4 program directors, 9 project managers, 7 clinical data managers, and 9 statisticians

Importance of standards

- Optimize quality, consistency, and efficiency of support provided
- Enhance cumulative knowledge and expertise of staff and facilitate transfer of projects
- Positive impact on retention of current funding streams and ability to successfully compete for new funding

Challenges to development of standards in an academic DCC

- Limited resources and infrastructure
- Limited familiarity with requirements for rigorous management and support of studies
- No industry standards and less uniform regulatory requirements compared to private sector
- Diversity of projects

Basic steps in standards development

Get started

- Identify areas to develop standards in
  - Processes that lend themselves to standardization
  - Areas with greatest potential to make a difference in support provided

- Begin outlining content for standards
  - Seek resources and collaborators with expertise in the areas you want to develop

- Evaluate what is necessary, what is ideal, and what is achievable
Write and refine

- Consider balance between level of detail and usability
- Involve staff directly in process
  - Leverages staff experience and insights
  - Promotes buy in and ownership from those who will be responsible for day-to-day implementation
  - Can result in better product and improved adherence

Implement and evaluate

- Provide comprehensive training as standards are finalized and establish expectations
- Evaluate adherence and track over time
- Provide re-training and re-evaluate / revise content periodically

General principles

- Set resources aside for this task
- Develop, refine, and implement piecewise
  - Most critical standards first
  - Create goals and a timeline to ensure you don’t lose momentum
- Keep standards manageable
  - Think 1 inch binder!
  - Helps to ensure familiarity and compliance
  - Facilitates maintenance over time

Statistical standards

- Study design
- Statistical analysis plan
- Analysis datasets
- Statistical analyses; tables, figures and listings
- Report and/or manuscript writing

Areas of emphasis for key statistical standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Statistical analysis plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Database and data elements review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis dataset documentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documentation of analysis decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation of raw datasets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation of derived analysis datasets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General programming standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality assurance in statistical programming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storing, accessing, and archiving analysis files</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Areas of Emphasis for Key Statistical Standards**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistical analysis plans*</th>
<th>Database and data elements review*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Analysis dataset documentation*</td>
<td>Documentation of analysis decisions*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation of raw datasets</td>
<td>Creation of derived analysis datasets*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation of derived analysis datasets</td>
<td>General programming standards*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality assurance in statistical programming</td>
<td>Storing, accessing, and archiving analysis files*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Importance**
- Ensures consistently high quality and accurate results
- Minimizes risks related to errors in programming or analyses
- Provides structure and thoughtfulness to dataset programming and analyses
- Promotes shared learning among statisticians

**Assessment of risk**
- Related to the possibility of harm associated with coding or analysis errors
  - Patient care including (when applicable) ongoing participation in research
  - Data center reputation
  - Allocation of resources
- Risk level determines quality assurance procedures required

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Level</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Results will not be used for important decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Results may impact study design, funding decisions, or future directions of research but do not expose DCC to external scrutiny or financial risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Results directly inform conclusions for key studies or critical aspects of study design and may result in external scrutiny or have financial implications</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Requirements based on risk assessment

- Low risk – basic programming standards
- Medium risk – basic programming standards plus peer review by a second statistician
- High risk – basic programming standards plus independent dual programming of datasets and results by second statistician

Concluding thoughts

- Begin process of standards development as soon as possible and start with critical components
- Set aside resources to enable development and implementation; leverage staff experience and input
- Provide ongoing training and evaluation of standards to ensure adherence and maintain relevance
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